

FEB 07 2003

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (37 CFR 1.8a)

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: BOX NON-FEE AMENDMENT, Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Tuyen T. Nguyen  
(Type or print name of person mailing paper)

Date: 2/11/03

Tuyen T. Nguyen  
(Signature of person mailing paper)

**YAMAP0347USD**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Uriu, et al

Serial No: 09/760,950

Filed: January 15, 2001

Art Unit: 2832

Examiner: Tuyen T. Nguyen

Confirmation No. 5487

For: INDUCTOR AND METHOD FOR PRODUCING THE SAME

REPLY TO ELECTION REQUIREMENT AND AMENDMENT

BOX NON-FEE AMENDMENT  
Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

The present paper is filed as a complete response to the Office Action mailed January 14, 2003, in which a one-month period was provided for response. Accordingly, Applicants' Reply is timely filed. Applicants request entry of this Reply and Amendment, and consideration of the references cited in the Supplemental IDS.

✓ AMENDMENTS

✓ Please cancel claims 16-27.

REMARKS

Upon entry of the present amendment, claims 1-7 are pending in the present application. Claims 16-27 are canceled herein. Applicants are simultaneously filing a divisional application drawn to claims 16-27.

A Supplemental IDS is filed with this Reply, to cite references which have been cited in the parent application. Copies of the references are not provided, since these references are of record in the parent application.

RESPONSE TO ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENT

In the Office Action, the Examiner applied an election requirement between nine allegedly distinct embodiments, represented by Figs. 1, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 15 and 19. Applicants respectfully note that there is no Fig. 19 in the application, so presume that the Examiner intended to refer to Fig. 18.

In response to the election of species requirement, Applicants elect the species of Embodiment 9, associated with Fig. 18 (19 in the Office Action), for initial consideration upon the merits.

Claims 1-7 are readable upon the elected species.

Claim 1 is considered to be generic to all of the species.


In the event issues arise as a result of the filing of this paper, or remain in the prosecution of this application, Applicants request that the Examiner telephone the undersigned attorney to expedite allowance of the application. Should a Petition for Extension of Time be necessary for the present Reply to the outstanding Office action to

be timely filed (or if such a petition has been made and an additional extension is necessary) petition therefor is hereby made and, if any additional fees are required for the filing of this paper, the Commissioner is authorized to charge those fees to Deposit Account #18-0988, Docket No. YAMAP0347USD.

Respectfully submitted,

RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, P.L.L.

Date: January 31, 2003

By   
Thomas W. Adams  
Reg. No. 35,047

1621 Euclid Avenue  
Nineteenth Floor  
Cleveland, Ohio 44115  
(216) 621-1113

C:\MyFiles\YAMA\347d\YAMA347D.ESRreply.wpd